Art Unit 2134

Attorney Docket No. 0630-0982P Amendment filed December 29, 2003

Page 3

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 21 and 22 are now present in this application. Claims 21 and 22

are independent.

Claims 1-20 have been canceled and claims 21 and 22 have been added.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

I. Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging Applicants' claim for

foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of the certified priority

document.

II. Drawings

Applicants have not received a Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing

Review PTO-948 indicating whether or not the formal drawings have been

approved by the Draftsperson. Since no objection has been received, Applicants

assume that the drawings are acceptable and that no further action is necessary.

Confirmation thereof in the next Office Action is respectfully requested.

Art Unit 2134

Attorney Docket No. 0630-0982P Amendment filed December 29, 2003

Page 4

## III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4 and 6-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Stefik, EP 0715247 A1. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office Action, and is not being repeated here.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-4 and 6-20 have been cancelled, thus rendering this rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

## IV. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the Office Action, and is not being repeated here.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 5 has been cancelled, thus rendering this rejection under 35

Art Unit 2134

Attorney Docket No. 0630-0982P Amendment filed December 29, 2003

Page 5

U.S.C. § 103 moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are

respectfully requested.

V. Claims 21 and 22

Claims 21 and 22 have been added for the Examiner's consideration.

Independent claim 21 recites a combination of steps in a method for uploading a

digital data file including checking a source of said digital file, and controlling

whether to upload said digital data file based on said checked result. In addition,

independent claim 22 recites a combination of elements in a program embodied on a

computer-readable medium for uploading a digital data file including a first program

code segment to check a source of said digital data file, and a second program

code segment to control whether to upload said digital file based on said checked

result. Applicants respectfully submit that these combinations of steps and

elements as set forth in independent claims 21 and 22 are not disclosed or made

obvious by the prior art of record, including Stefik.

Applicants submit that claims 21 and 22 are supported by the specification,

page 7, lines 1-9.

Consideration and allowance of claims 21 and 22 are respectfully requested.

Art Unit 2134

Attorney Docket No. 0630-0982P Amendment filed December 29, 2003

Page 6

VI. Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the

Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be

withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for

allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone James

T. Eller, Jr., Registration No. 39,538, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C.

area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully

requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and

future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.

Art Unit 2134

Attorney Docket No. 0630-0982P Amendment filed December 29, 2003

Page 7

02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

g. No.: 39,538

JTE:mmi:sld

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703)205-8000